

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,235 06/03/2002		Xavier Leboucher	214457US6PCT	2554
22850 75	590 09/17/2003			
•	VAK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA		CARTAGENA, MELVIN A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754 DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1///				
		Applicati ı	n No.	Applicant(s)	1				
Offic Action Summary		09/926,235	i	LEBOUCHER, XAVIER					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Melvin A. C	artagena	3754					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)⊠ ∣	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	<u> August 2003</u>	•						
2a)⊠ _	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	is action is r	on-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-16 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-16</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to <b>by</b> the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _			(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/926,235

Art Unit: 3754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings were received on August 07, 2003. These drawings are acceptable.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,813,571 to Gaucher.

Gaucher shows a packaging device with metered pump as seen in Figs. 1-7, see column 7 lines 17-20, a bag 1 formed by molding, see column 3 lines 32-33, a first portion 1 and a second portion 2 thicker than a portion 1, attaching means 4, see column 6 lines 59-61, a shoulder 8b, a neck 8a, a continuous peripheral flange 3, a coupling element 4, the bag has a "V" profile defined by the volume 35, a casing surrounding the bag and a boss 8c.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,813,571 to Gaucher in view of US 6,308,862 to Fillmore et al.

Art Unit: 3754

Gaucher shows all claimed features as discussed above except for a ratchet ring and a second bag. Fillmore shows a dispensing package for dual viscous product as seen in Figs. 1-19, with two bags 45 and a ratchet ring, see Fig. 6 bellow flange 43. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Gaucher with a ratchet ring and multiple bags as taught by Fillmore since the ratchet is an alternative equivalent means for securing the bag to the casing of the one used by Gaucher and multiple bags are commonly used to store two or more elements that need to be kept separated, because of their chemical nature, till they are ready to be used, see column 1 lines 59-65.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 8-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Evezich shows a squeezable dispensing apparatus.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/926,235

Art Unit: 3754

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (703) 308-5810.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30AM to 4:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Louis G. Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

MAC 9/11/03

Gene Mancene Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 4

Group 3700